

OSUN GEOGRAPHICAL REVIEW

Journal of the Department of Geography, Osun State University, Osun State, Nigeria

Volume 6, 2024

ISSN: 2695 - 1959

Editor-in-Chief Prof. Olusegun Ekanade

Published by the Department of Geography, Osun State University, Osun State, Nigeria

EDITORIAL BOARD

| Chairman: | Prof. R.A. Asiyanbola | | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Editor-in-Chief: | Prof. Olusegun Ekanade | | | | |
| Members: | Dr. Samuel Yakubu Dr. K.J. Samuel S.A. Adeniyi | | | | |
| | Dr. O.S. Durowoju | | | | |
| Business Manager: | Dr. M.O. Obidiya | | | | |
| Editorial Advisers: | Prof. A.S. Gbadegesin | - | University of Ibadan | | |
| | Prof. C.O. Olatubara | - | University of Ibadan | | |
| | Prof. F.A. Adesina | - | Obafemi Awolowo University | | |
| | Prof. A.S. Aguda | - | Obafemi Awolowo University | | |
| | Prof. M.O. Olawole | - | Obafemi Awolowo University | | |
| Prof. T.E. Ologuno: | | - | Federal University of Technology, Akure | | |
| | Prof. F.I. Afolabi | - | Ekiti State University | | |
| | Prof. W.O. Adebayo | - | Ekiti State University | | |
| | Prof. O.O. Oyesiku | - | Olabisi Onabanjo University | | |
| | Prof. B.A. Badejo | - | Olabisi Onabanjo University | | |
| | Prof. P.O. Phil-Eze | - | University of Nigeria | | |
| | Prof. E.F. Ogunbodede | - | Adekunle Ajasin University | | |
| | Prof. L. T.Ajibade | - | University of Ilorin | | |
| | Prof. A. Olusanya | - | Osun State University | | |
| | Prof. O.C. Nwoke | - | Osun State University | | |
| | | | | | |

CONTENTS

| Editorial Board | ii |
|---|-----|
| Contents | iii |
| Preference for Green Space on Urban Residential Plot in Ibadan, Nigeria S. A. Adejumo | 1 |
| Assessment of Indigenous Knowledge on Housing Constructions in Akure South, Nigeria S. O. Fashuyi, B. O. Owolabi, A. A. Fakere. and Y. A. Ishola | 11 |
| Air Quality Condition of Artisanal Gold Mining Sites During the Dry Season in Niger State, Nigeria A. A. Idris, J. S. Ndace, S. D. Abubakar and I. Yusuf | 17 |
| Examining Residents' Perceptions of Economic Losses Due to Flooding in Niger State Y. M. Kodan, H. M. Liman, S. N. Jiya and C. O. Okwuwa | 22 |
| Assessment of Socio-economic Impacts of Cottage Industries in Niger South, Niger State, Nigeria N. Ndako, S. A. Mashi, J. Y. Magaji | 31 |
| Effects of Cottage Industries on Ambient Air Pollution In Niger South, Niger State, Nigeria N. Ndako, S. A. Mashi and J. Y. Magaji | 38 |
| Assessment of Mining-induced Land Degradation in Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria B. O. Balogun, A. G. Ojo, O. E. Aluko, and L. I. Alage | 48 |
| Assessing the Effectiveness of Traditional Practices in Green Leafy Farming in Peri-urban Areas of Ibadan, Nigeria <i>S. Ojolowo and J. Talabi</i> | 59 |
| Spatial Temporal Analysis of Road Network Density in Ile-Ife Metropolis, Nigeria <i>F. T. Oladapo and M. O. Olawole</i> | 79 |
| Characterization of the Impact of Urban Development on Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Akure Environ, Ondo State Nigeria <i>O. O. Fabiyi and O. Fajilade</i> | 84 |
| Rainfall Variability and its Effect on the Yield of Sorghum and Farmers' Adoption of Climate Smart Agricultural Practices in Bauchi State, Nigeria <i>E. Ikpe, P. A. John, U. D. Omede, E. M. Onah and Y. J. Alhassan</i> | 95 |

| Tourism and Regional Development: A Geographical Perspective E. E. Adeniyi and A. M. Tunde | | |
|---|-----|--|
| Living within Gated Communities: Analysis of Determinants and Residents' Perceptions of Safety In Ibadan Metropolis <i>S. A. Adeniyi, M. O. Obidiya and K. I. Adewuni</i> | 114 | |
| Insecurity in Public Transport: A Growing World Concern V. Afolabi and R. A. Asiyanbola | 123 | |
| Note to Contributors | 133 | |



EFFECTS OF COTTAGE INDUSTRIES ON AMBIENT AIR POLLUTION IN NIGER SOUTH, NIGER STATE, NIGERIA

^{1*}N. Ndako, ²S. A. Mashi and ²J. Y. Magaji

¹Department of Geography Niger State College of Education, Minna. ²Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of Abuja, Nigeria *Corresponding author's e-mail: nmandako@yahoo.com*

Abstract

The impacts of cottage industry operations on the ambient air quality in Niger South, Niger State. Pollutants such as NO₂ (Nitrogen dioxide), SO₂ (Sulphur dioxide), CO (Carbon monoxide), NH₃ (Amonia), H₂S (Hydrogen Sulphide), CO₂ (Carbon dioxide) and PM (Particulate matter) were measured within ten (10) days at three (3) consecutive intervals across eight cottage industries, brass, pottery, sheabutter, blacksmith, locust bean, cassava processing, glass-work and kernel processing using the handheld mobile gas detectors. The generated data were presented in mean and compared with the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) permissible limit for outdoor pollutants. The result showed traces of air pollutants (NO₂, SO₂, CO, NH3 and CO2) as well as particulate matter at the vicinity of the industries, which reflected the impacts of the cottage industries on the release of harmful pollutants into the immediate environment. Accordingly, the mean concentration of NO₂ within and 50m proximity to the glasswork processing industries (1.05 and 0.7μ gm³) were above the NESREA limit (0.5μ gm³). Similarly, SO₂ at the vicinity of the pottery (1.13µgm³), blacksmith (1.54µgm³), locust bean (2.21µgm³) and glasswork (24.85µgm³) were above the 1µgm³ recommended by NESREA. The mean concentration of PM₂₅ at the vicinity of brass (140.6 ppm), pottery (131.2 ppm), blacksmith (122.5 ppm), shea-butter (106.4 ppm) and glasswork (95.4 ppm) were above the NESREA limit (80 ppm). The Pvalue of 0.000, 0.023 and 0.000 µgm³, for the ambient mean concentration of CO₂, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ portrayed significant difference with respect to proximity (within, 50m and 100m buffer) to the cottage industries. In conclusion, the various production activities at the existing cottage industries in Niger South were contributing to the present-day air pollution at trace levels. This was due to the reliance of fuelwood, charcoal and the use of fossil fuel as energy sources. It is important that this cottage industries were linked to the national grid hydroelectricity to limit the rate of emission. In addition, financial credit support should be provided to the various actors in the cottage industries to enhance production and use of lowpollution technological tools in carrying out their operation.

Keyword: Cottage industries, Niger South, Pollutant

Introduction

The pressure to embrace a responsible environmental behavior, is increasingly considered as essential to sustainable growth and welfare of mankind (Liboni and Cezarino, 2012; Ávila-Romero and Albuquerque, 2018; Muzaffar *et. al.*, 2019). As such, concerns are always expressed about the socioenvironmental impacts of all activities that human beings undertake as they can seriously challenge achievement of sustainable development. One area where environmental impacts are of much concern to sustainable development is production activities, which can occur at cottage, small, medium and large scales.

At the lowest level of the production ladder are the cottage industries which constitute an enterprise where products are made or services are rendered mostly from home or from a work-shed, generally by the owner or with the help of family members or limited number of wage earners, using simple technologies with very little or no formal organizational structure. Cottage industrial activities represent the commonest production system across many areas of the world producing myriad range of products such as leather and cotton goods, ceramic handicrafts, gems and jewelry, clothing and dresses, metal goods, etc. Among their key features include use of simple equipment and indigenous technology in producing goods; use of private resources and small capital to organize production process, and very little or complete absence of formal regulations and guidelines to allow production of unique products (Grigsby, 2015).

Cottage industries have shown their impacts on national and regional economies throughout the world. In both developed and developing countries, cottage industries have been recognized as an effective instrument for creating employment opportunities with a small amount of capital investment, equitable distribution of national income, balanced regional growth and development of rural and semi urban areas (Government of Gujarat, 2016). Beside this, cottage industries have aided the conservation of cultural heritage and indigenous knowledge. As a matter of fact, cottage industries have been widely acknowledged as the spring-board for sustainable economic development. Products from cottage industries are cherished as works of art, and are bought as presents and souvenirs by tourists.

In most parts of Africa, cottage industries of various items have been an important economic activity of local craftsmen (Ofune, 2010). In Nigeria, Mutabazi (2013) has argued that, the future of the country depends on the rural communities, that is why it is important to have indigenous industries improved. However, the activities of cottage industries have been reported to have some negative environmental footprint (Penadés-Plà *et. al.*, 2020; Casamayor *et. al.*, 2021). Hiralal, (2010) posited that cottage industries are associated with landuse/cover changes, water, soil and air pollution, most of which are localized environmental problems. As such this paper aimed to assess the impacts of cottage industry operations on the ambient air quality in Niger South, Niger State.

Methodology

Study Area

Niger South area of Niger State is located in the central part of Nigeria. It lies between longitude 4° 30' and 7° 00' east and latitude 8° 10' and 11° 8' north. It covers an area of 24, 741km². It is bordered by Paikoro, Bosso, and Wushishi local government to



Figure 1: Niger State, highlighting Niger South

the West, Suleja Local Government, Federal Capital Territory to the East and River Niger to the south. It borders between Kogi and Kwara state (Osayande *et. al.,* 2016). The area lies within the tropical savanna climate, also called tropical wet and dry climate. The climate exhibits a well-marked rainy season and a dry season with a single peak known as the summer maximum due to the distance from the equator. Temperature is above 24°C throughout the year. The area has temperature range of 8°C and annual rainfall of 1,500mm with a single rainfall maximum in September (Osayande *et. al.,* 2016). Figure 1 showed the study area.

Study Materials

The air quality assessment was conducted in the dry season across eight cottage industries (brass, pottery, sheabutter, blacksmith, locust bean, garri processing, glass-work and kernel processing) when the cottage industry activities are pronounced. Using the handheld mobile gas detectors, measurement of harmful pollutants from the operations in the various cottage industries was examined. Particular pollutants include NO₂ (Nitrogen dioxide), SO₂ (Sulphur dioxide), CO (Carbon monoxide), NH₃ (Amonia), H₂S (Hydrogen Sulphide), CO₂ (Carbon dioxide) and PM (Particulate matter).

The measurement was taken for 10 days at three (3)consecutive interval within the concession of the cottage industries, 50 meters away from the industrial vicinity and 100 meters away. This was to determine the variation of air pollution within the various cottage industries. The measurement was taken during active operations at the respective industries using the handheld mobile meteorological device. The results were compared with the NESREA (National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency) permissible limits for 24hours concentration of these gases in the ambient environment. This was to determine the compliance level of emission from the industries and to evaluate the industries with greater environmental and public health concerns.

Analysis

Both descriptive and inferential statistical tools were used to give meaningful explanation to the data obtained from the processes explained above. Descriptively, tools such as mean and standard deviation, were used to present the data for easy understanding (see equation 1 and 2).

Mean

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\Sigma X}{N}$$
 eq1

Where: \overline{X} = Mean

 Σ = Summation of the entire data points in the data set N = Number of data points in the data set

Standard Deviation

$$\delta = \sqrt{\sum \frac{(X - \bar{X})^2}{N}} \qquad eq2$$

Where: δ = Standard Deviation

- Σ = Summation of the entire data points in the data set
- X = Value of the with point in the data set
- \overline{X} = The mean value of the data set

N = Number of data points in the data set

Anova

One-way anova statistical test was employed to check for the significant variation of air pollutants across the various cottage industries and the immediate environ (50 and 100m away). The equation is presented herein:

$$TSS = \Sigma_i \Sigma_j (X_{ij} - X_{++})^2$$
$$BSS = \sum_j n_j (X_{+j} - X_{++})^2$$
$$WSS = \Sigma_j \Sigma_j (X_{ij} - X_{Xj})^2$$

Where:

TSS = total sum of squares BSS=between sum of squares WSS=within sum of squares X = individual observation

N= total numbers of observations

| Table 1: Adopt | ed Instrument |
|----------------|---------------|
|----------------|---------------|

| Table 1. Adopted Histrament | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| S/N | Instrument | Model | Purpose | |
| 1 | Global Positioning System | GPSmap 60Cx | To obtain sampling points | |
| 2 | HAZE Dust Particulate Monitor | GAXT-D-DL | To record PM concentration | |
| 3 | BW Technologies Microclip X3 | MCXL-XWHM-Y-NA | To record NO ₂ , SO ₂ , CO, CO ₂ , | |
| | | | NH_3 and H_2S | |
| 4 | Notepad/Pen | 40 leaves/bic | For writing | |

Result Presentation and Discussion

The mean ambient air concentration levels of the pollutants were compared with the Nigerian standards established by National Environmental Standard Enforcement Regulatory Agency (NESREA).

Compliance Level of NO₂ Emission from the Respective Industries

The compliance level of average concentration of NO_2 recorded at the respective industries was presented in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Compliance level of NO₂ to NESREA standard



Figure 3: Compliance level of SO₂ to NESREA standard

The mean concentration of NO_2 measured at the respective industries were within the environmental standard set by NESREA

 $(0.5\mu gm^3)$. However, the mean concentration of NO₂ within $(0.7 \mu gm^3)$ and at 50m buffer $(1.05 \mu gm^3)$ to the glasswork industry exceeded the NESREA standard. This implied that constituent of NO₂ pollutant from the industry was of significant concern to the immediate environment. This would go a long way to affect the health of workers and local practitioners of glasswork as well as inhabitants in the local communities.

The traditional process involved in glasswork processing encompassed smelting of existing glass and bottles obtained from different waste stream such as medical, beverages and cosmetics. These materials in turn were made of different chemicals which when subjected to furnace and heating the toxic chemicals were forced to expel into the air under open furnace heating, which was common among local glasswork industry in the area. This afterward increased emission of gaseous pollutants into the immediate environment.

Compliance Level of SO₂ Emission from the Respective Industries

The compliance level of average concentration of SO₂ recorded at the respective industries was presented in Figure 3. The mean concentration of emitted SO₂ at the brass industry, pottery industry, shea-butter industry, garri processing plant and kernel industry were all within the ambient permissible standard for outdoor SO_2 (1µgm³). However, at the immediate vicinity of the blacksmith industry, the mean concentration of SO_2 (1.54µgm³) exceeded the NESREA standard. Similarly, the mean concentration of SO_2 within (2.21µgm³) and at 50m buffer (1.46µgm³) to the locust-bean industry were above the set standard established by NESREA. An outlier of ambient mean concentration of SO₂ was recorded at the glasswork industry. This ranged from 14.85µgm³ within the industry to 5.95µgm³ and 1.093µgm³at 50m and 100m buffer to the glasswork industry. This obviously meant that concentration of SO₂ observed at the blacksmith and locust-bean industry could be attributed to the high reliance of fuelwood energy as major source of heating at the respective industries. The emission of SO₂ above recommended standard at the glasswork industry could be attributed to the material choice that fed the production process, which included bottle and glass obtained from waste stream –particularly cosmetics, beverages, drinks, and medicals. Research showed that the use of clean energy for industrial activities would invariably eliminate the rate of pollution of gaseous element into the environment (Perera, 2018).

Compliance Level of CO Emission from the Respective Industries

The juxtaposed standard for outdoor standard concentration of CO postulated by NESREA and the ambient mean CO recorded at the various industries was presented in Figure 4. Accordingly, the mean concentration of ambient CO recorded at the brass industry, locust-b bean industry and kernel processing industry concurred with the standard set by NESREA (2µgm³).

In contrast, the ambient mean concentration of CO recorded at the vicinities of the Pottery industry (3.45 μgm³), blacksmith industry (2.84 μgm³) and sheabutter industry (2.08 µgm³) were slightly above the permissible limit for safe atmosphere set by NESREA. At the immediate vicinities and 50m buffer, the ambient concentration of CO at the garri processing industry (8.55 and 2.87 µgm³) and the glasswork industry (5.69 and 2.71 µgm³) were obviously greater than the recommended standard. Thus, dwellers within these industries would inevitably be exposed to this pollutant. Study document that increases level of exposure to CO could lead to health complications (Allen et. al., 2015). For vulnerable groups such as children and adult as well as persons with health challenges, effects from long-term exposure could lead to chronic obstruction, pulmonary diseases, asthma as well as lower respiratory tract infections and possible lung cancer (Woodward et. al., 2014).

Compliance Level of NH₃ Emission from the Respective Industries

Trace of NH_3 was only recorded at the locust-bean, shea-butter and garri processing industries (Figure 5). The mean concentration of NH_3 at these industries was below the permissible standard for daily concentration of NH_3 established by NESREA ($2\mu gm^3$). Thus, the emission of NH_3 from the respective industries was insignificant and was expected to pose no health challenges to both workers at the industries as well as the general local populace.



Figure 4: Compliance level of CO to NESREA standard



Figure 5: Compliance level of NH₃ to NESREA standard

Compliance Level of CO₂ Emission from the Respective Industries

Figure 6 showed that the mean concentration of CO_2 recorded at the respective industries were well below the recommended standard for daily outdoor CO_2 concentration established by NESREA (500µgm³). However, at the immediate vicinity of the glasswork industry, average recorded concentration of CO_2 (641.6µgm³) was well above the recommended

standard. Source of contribution to the high rate of CO_2 emission could be associated with traditional fuelwood energy used to power the furnace as well as the foundering of various glass materials with toxic constituents. This is particularly worrisome to workers' health. Significant body of literature have shown that exposure to CO_2 at less than the permissible levels could triggered much health complications, which could lead to death (Allen *et. al.*, 2016).

Compliance Level of Particulate Matter Emission

Mean concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ at 50m to 100m buffer to the various industries were within the NESREA established standard of 80 ppm (Figure 7). However, within the concession of the brass industry (140.6 ppm), pottery industry (131.2 ppm), blacksmith industry (122.5 ppm), shea-butter industry (106.4 ppm) and glasswork (95.4 ppm), the recorded mean concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ were above the NESREA standard. Accordingly, the observed mean concentration within these industries could be attributed to the over reliance on fuelwood for energy.

This result was indifferent to the findings of Enotoriuwa *et. al.*, (2016) in Obigbo area of River State. Their findings showed that ambient concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ ranged from 86-108 ppm, exceeding the established standard. Accordingly, a lot of health burden may abound. According to



Figure 6: Compliance level of NH₃ to NESREA standard



Figure 7: Compliance level of PM_{2.5} to NESREA standard

Quian (2017), even in areas where $PM_{2.5}$ met federal standards, medicare recipients who were exposed to more air pollution might have higher mortality rate. Contrary to the high amount of ambient mean concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ in contradiction to the NESREA standard, the observed ambient mean concentration of PM_{10} within and around the respective industries were below the recommended standard established by NESREA (Figure 8).

Hypotheses Testing

The mean variation of gaseous pollutant from source points (Industries) to the immediate environment showed considerable distinction. As discussed earlier, the rate of emission differed from the immediate industrial areas to as far as 50m to 100m buffer zone to the industries. However, conclusion on this fact was drawn with a postulated null hypothesis (Ho: There is no significance difference in the mean concentration of pollutants from the respective cottage industries) tested at 95% confidence level using the variance test (Anova statistics) as shown in Table 2.

Table 5.37 showed that mean concentration of pollutant measured at three distance intervals (within, 50m and 100m buffer) in the studied industries. The result showed that mean concentration of NO_2 was not statistically significant

given the *P-value* of 0.273 µgm³. Similarly, given the result of '*F* =1.398, *d.f* = 2, *P-value* = 0.338 µgm³', the mean recorded ambient SO₂ at the distance interval in the respective industries was also not statistically significant.

Likewise, ambient-recorded mean NH3 was not statistically significant at the respective industries in respect to distance intervals. This was in reflection to the statistical results (F = 3.25, d.f = 2, P-value = 0.062 μgm^3). The result also depicted that the recorded ambient mean CO at the respective intervals within the studied industries was not significant (F = 5.137, d.f = 2, *P*-value = 0.017 μgm^3). In contrast, given by the P-value of 0.000, 0.023 and 0.000 μ gm³, the ambient mean concentration of CO₂, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ were statistically significant. This portrayed that the mean concentration of CO₂, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ recorded with respect to proximity (within, 50m and 100m buffer) to the respective industries varied statistically with higher concentration recorded within the vicinity of the industries, which invariably decreased significantly with increase in distance from the source point (industry).

This finding indicated that people living less than 50m proximity to the respective industries were potentially at risk to the exposure of CO_2 , $PM_{2.5}$, and PM_{10} pollutants as well as the associated health



Figure 8: Compliance level of PM₁₀ to NESREA standard

| | SV | SS | df | MS | F | P-value | F crit |
|------------|-------|----------|----|----------|--------|---------|--------|
| 5 | BG | 0.19 | 2 | 0.09 | 1.398 | 0.273 | 3.555 |
| 9 | WG | 1.20 | 18 | 0.07 | | | |
| A | Total | 1.39 | 20 | | | | |
| 8 | BG | 24.6 | 2 | 12.3 | 1.1544 | 0.338 | 3.555 |
| Ő | WG | 191.4 | 18 | 10.6 | | | |
| • | Total | 216.0 | 20 | | | | |
| . 69 | BG | 0.02 | 2 | 0.008 | 3.25 | 0.062 | 3.555 |
| Ę | WG | 0.04 | 18 | 0.002 | | | |
| F 4 | Total | 0.06 | 20 | | | | |
| • | BG | 32.16 | 2 | 16.1 | 5.137 | 0.017 | 3.555 |
| 2 | WG | 56.35 | 18 | 3.13 | | | |
| • | Total | 88.51 | 20 | | | | |
| 2 | BG | 242538.0 | 2 | 121269.0 | 30.18 | 0.000 | 3.555 |
| 0 | WG | 72327.6 | 18 | 4018.2 | | | |
| | Total | 314865.6 | 20 | | | | |
| 5 | BG | 2822.6 | 2 | 1411.3 | 4.658 | 0.023 | 3.555 |
| Ä | WG | 5453.8 | 18 | 303.0 | | | |
| Ч | Total | 8276.3 | 20 | | | | |
| 10 | BG | 16482.4 | 2 | 8241.2 | 28.22 | 0.000 | 3.555 |
| M | WG | 5256.6 | 18 | 292.0 | | | |
| 4 | Total | 21739.0 | 20 | | | | |

Table 2: Results of Analysis of Variance of the various parameters analysed

Source: Authors work (2022)

effects to increasing level of exposure to CO_2 , $PM_{2.5}$, and PM_{10} . Most worrisome was the workers at these industries. It is believed that they might be exposed to the harmful effects of these pollutants on a daily basis.

Conclusion

Traces of air pollutants (NO₂, SO₂, CO, NH3 and CO2) as well as particulate matter are recorded at the vicinity of the respective industries, which reflect the impacts of the cottage industries on the release of harmful pollutants into the immediate environment. Accordingly, the mean concentration of NO₂ within and 50m proximity to the glasswork processing industries (1.05 and 0.7 μ gm³) are above the NESREA limit (0.5 μ gm³). Similarly, SO₂ at the vicinity of the pottery (1.13 μ gm³), blacksmith (1.54 μ gm³), locust bean (2.21 μ gm³) and glasswork (24.85 μ gm³) are above the 1 μ gm³ recommended by NESREA.

Mean values of CO at the vicinity of pottery and blacksmith industries $(3.45\mu gm^3)$ are above the NESREA limit (2.84 μgm^3) as well as the mean value recorded at the vicinity and at 50m away to the garri (8.55 and 2.87 μgm^3) and glasswork (5.69 and

2.71µgm³) industries. Similarly, mean concentration of CO₂ in the respective industries is within the NESREA limit (500ppm) except for glasswork, which have high concentration (641.6 ppm). The mean concentration of PM_{2.5} at the vicinity of brass (140.6 ppm), pottery (131.2 ppm), blacksmith (122.5 ppm), shea-butter (106.4 ppm) and glasswork (95.4 ppm) are above the NESREA limit (80 ppm).

The P-value of 0.000, 0.023 and 0.000 μ gm³, for the ambient mean concentration of CO₂, PM₂₅, and PM₁₀ portray significant difference with respect to proximity (within, 50m and 100m buffer) to the respective cottage industries.to conclude the various production activities at the existing cottage industries in Niger South are contributing to the present-day air pollution at trace levels. This is due to the reliance of fuelwood, charcoal and the use of fossil fuel as energy source. It is important that this cottage industries are linked to the national grid hydroelectricity to limit the rate of emission. In addition, financial credit support should be provided to the various actors in the cottage industries to enhance production and use of low-pollution technological tools in carrying out their operation.

References

- Allen, J.G., MacNaughton, P., Satish, U., Santanam, S., Vallarino, J., and Spengler, J.D. (2015). Associations of Cognitive Function Scores with Carbon Dioxide, Ventilation, and Volatile Organic Compound Exposures in Office Workers: A Controlled Exposure Study of Green and Conventional Office Environments. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 124(6). doi:10.1289/ehp.1510037
- Ávila-Romero, A., Albuquerque, J. (2018). Socioenvironmental impacts of African oil palm cultivation: mexican and Brazilian. Economía Sociedad vol. 23n. 53.
- Casamayor, H.F., Martínez, J.L.M., Mora-Rodríguez, J., Delgado-Galván, X. (2021). Assessing Industrial Impact on Water Sustainability in El Bajío, Guanajuato State, Mexico. Sustainability 13: 6161. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su13116161
- Enotoriuwa, R.U., Nwachukwu, E.O., and Ugbebor, J.N. (2016). Assessment of Particulate Matter concentration among Land Use Types in Obigbo and Environs in Rivers State Nigeria. International Journal of Civil Engineering and *Technology*, 7(3): 252-261.
- GoG (Government of Gujarat) (2016). Cottage & Rural Industries Policy 2016. Office of the Commissioner, Cottage and Rural Industries Industries and Mines Department Government of Gujarat Gandhinagar, India. GR No.: CIP/102016/151/Kh Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.
- Grigsby, M., Jeanetta, S., Jivetti, B. (2015) Benefits and challenges of micro-enterprise participation: women's cottage industry in Kaimosi, Kenya. *Development in Practice*, 25(8), 1146-1159.
- Hiralal, K. (2010). The "invisible" workers of the informal economy—A case study of home-based workers in Kwazulu/Natal, South Africa. Journal of Social Science 23: 29–37.

- Liboni, L.B. and Cezarino, L.O. (2012). Social and environmental impacts of the sugarcane industry. *Future Studies Research Journal*, 4(1), 196–227.
- Mutabazi K. Wiggins, S and Mdoe, N (2013). Commercuialization of African small holder industries: the case of small holder industrialists in central Tanzania; *Future Agruicultural/Industrial working paper* 072; 2-32.
- Muzaffar, A., Khurshid, A., Malik, M.N., Azhar, A. (2019). Sustainable development across the supply chain: The missing link of socio-environmental effect. *Sustainable Development*, 27(5), 976-981.
- Ofune, J.A. (2010). *Modern Regional Geography*, Idodo Umeh Publishers Ltd.
- Osayande, P.E., Oviasogie, P.O., Orhue, E.R., Udoh, J.J. and Awanlemhen, B.E. (2016). Distribution of Forms of Potassium in Soils Cultivated to Shea (Vitellaria Paradoxa C.F. Gaertn) Trees at Bida, Niger State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*, 26, 325-333.
- Penadés-Plà, B.V., Martínez-Muñoz, D., García-Segura, T., Navarro, I.J., and Yepes, V. (2020). Environmental and Social Impact Assessment of Optimized Post-Tensioned Concrete Road. Sustainability 2020, 12, 4265; doi:10.3390/su12104265
- Perera, F. (2018). Pollution from Fossil-Fuel Combustion is the Leading Environmental Threat to Global Pediatric Health and Equity: Solutions Exist. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 15(1): 16.
- Quian, D. (2017). Air Pollution and Mortality in the medicare population. New England Journal medicine, 376(26), 2513-2522.
- Woodward, A., Smith, K. R., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Chadee, D. D., Honda, Y., Liu, Q., ... Haines, A. (2014). Climate change and health: on the latest IPCC report. *The Lancet*, 383(9924), 1185–1189. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(14)60576-6