

## UNIOSUN Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences. Vol. 2, No. 2. Sept. 2020

DOI: 10.36108/ujees/0202.20.0220

# Assessment of Quality Management Practices and Building Collapse in Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria

Adeosun, J.O., Fadipe, O.O. and Adejumo, A.O.

Abstract: The study assessed the quality management practices as it affects the building projects in Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria with a view to determining the causes of building failure. The work involved the distribution of questionnaires and a follow-up study on respondents. The questionnaires were designed to extract information from the stakeholders: professionals from Ministry of Works and Housing, contractors, and direct users of the projects on the general causes of building collapse in relation to quality management. To have a good representation of the study area, one hundred and twenty (120) copies of questionnaire were administered using Taro Yamani method of sample size determination. A total of 92 questionnaires were retrieved and the results were subjected to descriptive and inferential statistical analysis. The study revealed that handling of the construction process by unqualified and quacks, possession of approved drawing without compliance and usage of substandard building materials were the major causes of building collapse. It was recommended that there should be constant evaluation of contractors and other players to determine the level of compliance to quality standards as prescribed by the regulatory bodies to curb the incidences of building collapse.

Keywords: Quality Management Practices, Building Projects, Building Collapse

#### I. Introduction

In recent times, there has been an increase in the incidence of building collapse causing a lot of physical and psychological trauma to the populace due to the loss of huge investment on building, properties and human life. In Nigeria, cases of building collapse are enormous in which Osun State is not exempted. This rising case has created a great concern for the construction industry and the entire citizenry [1].

A building is said to have collapsed when its structural component states have failed and could not support the intended loads. In this situation, such a building is no longer safe to

possibly, loss of lives [3]. The failure can be of various types and can occur during, immediately, after or later in its design lifespan. Studies have shown that inadequate and inefficient management of construction process, noncompliance to rules regulations guiding the building industry, as well as natural disaster arising from floods, earthquakes and hurricanes are the major causes of building collapse [4] [5]. In Osun State, most cases of collapsed building reported were connected workmanship, usage of substandard building material, faulty design and non-adherence to specifications. This implies that every phase of building production requires

supervision and quality participation of

professionals in the building industry [6].

live in and therefore becomes a nuisance to the environment [2]. A collapsed structure

anywhere in the world is a nightmare because

of the time, energy resources wasted and

**Adeosun, J. O.** (Department of Building Technology, Osun State University, Osogbo.)

Fadipe, O. O. Department of Civil Engineering, Osun State University, Osogbo.)

**Adejumo, A. O.** (Department of Estate Management, Osun State University, Osogbo.)

Corresponding author: <u>julieadeosun@gmail.com</u> Telephone Number: +234-803-245-8148 Lack of quality control measures and quality assurance policies by the construction team members have been identified as major causes of project failure [7]. A lot of time, money and resources are wasted on construction projects without the existence of quality management procedure [8]. The fulfilment of expectations of every project participant is the success of the project as well as the quality of construction of the project [9]. It has been discovered that some design and production team members do not adhere strictly to many codes of practice established for different aspects of works in the industry, thereby compromising quality standards.

Total quality management entails the participation and dedication of the client, contractor and the constructor in the process; to ensure the project's success [10]. Total quality management is the piece of duty which ensures that construction is performed according to plan and specifications, within the stipulated time and defined budget and a safe work environment [11]. The term quality management is a concept which encompasses quality control, quality assurance, quality improvement quality standard among others [10]. Total quality management brings about customers' satisfaction, products free of defects, avoidance of rework, cost-effective training and re-training of the workforce [12] In order to develop a total quality culture in the construction industry, team of construction professionals, contractors and suppliers who would be committed to the quality process with true quality attitude must be developed [14].

If construction clients and the end-users of completed building projects are to get the best value for their money, the concept of quality management must be emphasized in the industry to enhance the quality of product and services [10]. Findings have shown that

majority of construction firms in Nigeria are more concerned about the number of hours worked with the quantity of work done, while lesser attention is paid to the quality of work performed [12]. For the construction industry to improve its performance there must be a change of orientation of all the players of building production process [15].

There is need for the construction industry to take up the practice of the concept of total quality management (TQM) as it occupies the forefront among the factors that can be used to rate the level of success or failure of a project [16]. This study is aimed at assessing the quality management practice as it affects building failure in Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria with a view to suggesting a lasting solution to building collapse arising from inadequate quality management practices in the study area.

# II. Methodology

The study design for this work was based on a survey method which accommodates the use of questionnaires to elicit information from the respondents involved in Osogbo, Osun The respondents State, Nigeria. categorized three into namely: the professionals from the Ministry of Works and Transport, the contractors, and the direct users of the projects. A total population of 145 was surveyed. From the total surveyed, the sample size was determined using Taro Yamani's method of size determination. A combination of random and purposive sampling was used to select the final 120 target population. The questionnaire was designed to address the general causes of building collapse in relation to quality management practices.

The mean of 2.50 was used as a decision point for every questionnaire item. Consequently, any item with mean responses of 2.50 and above was considered to be agreed. Any item with a mean response of 2.49 and below was equally considered to have disagreed respectively.

## III. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the demographic information of respondents. Respondents' profession: Civil Engineering has the highest percentage of 27.2% followed by Building with 22.8%, Project Management with 18.5%, Architecture and Estate Management with 10.9% each, contracting with 4.3%, while professions have 5.4 % of the population of the respondent. This implies that majority of the respondents are Engineers and Builders which implies that they are professionals and have adequate knowledge of the subject matter. Also, from the analysis of the respondent, 42% were from government parastatals, 35% were from contracting firm; consulting and property firm has 15% and 8% respectively. This shows that most of the complex structures are done governments. Moreover, respondents with a Bachelor's degree have a percentage of 56.5% followed by those with a Master's Degree and others. This shows that the respondents have an adequate level of education and knowledge about the research and its relevance to society. The study also shows that the respondents comprise various memberships professional bodies: Members of the Nigerian Society for Engineers (NSE) have the highest percentage of 27.2%, while those of the Nigerian Institute of Building (NIOB) and others have 22.8% and 50% respectively. This indicates that the majority of the respondents are engineers and builders which imply that they are professionals and therefore acquire adequate knowledge of the subject matter. Relevant work experience was also considered; those with an average work experience of 6-10 years have 34.8% and 11-15

years with 23.9%. This shows that they possess a wealth of experience required for the study.

Table 1: Demographic Information of Respondents

| Respondents'   Profession   Architecture   10   10.9   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   10.9   10.0   1 | Demographic | Frequency (N)  | Percentage |
|--|-------------|----------------|------------|
| Profession         Architecture         10         10.9           Building         21         22.8           Civil Engineering         25         27.2           Project         17         18.5           Management         17         18.5           Contracting         4         4.3           Estate Valuer         10         10.9           Others         15         5.4           Total         92         100.0           Type of Organizations           Contracting firm         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         92         100.0           Highest Qualification           HND         15         16.3           B.Sc.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership           MNIA         10         10.9           MNIA         10         <   | Demographic | riequency (14) |            |
| Profession         Architecture         10         10.9           Building         21         22.8           Civil Engineering         25         27.2           Project         17         18.5           Management         17         18.5           Contracting         4         4.3           Estate Valuer         10         10.9           Others         15         5.4           Total         92         100.0           Type of Organizations           Contracting firm         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         92         100.0           Highest Qualification           HND         15         16.3           B.Sc.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership           MNIA         10         10.9           MNIA         10         <   |             |                | ` ′        |
| Architecture 10 10.9 Building 21 22.8 Civil Engineering 25 27.2 Project 17 18.5 Management Contracting 4 4.3 Estate Valuer 10 10.9 Others 15 5.4  Total 92 100.0  Type of Organizations Contracting 14 15 Property firm 7 8 Government 39 42 parastatals  Total 92 100.0  Highest Qualification HND 15 16.3 B.Sc. 52 56.5 M.Sc. 24 26.1 Ph.D. 1 1.1 Total 92 100.0  Professional Membership MNIOB 21 22.8 MNIA 10 10.9 MNSE 25 27.2 MNIESV 12 10.9 MNIQS 10 10.9 OTHERS 16 17.4  |             |                |            |
| Building       21       22.8         Civil Engineering       25       27.2         Project       17       18.5         Management       4       4.3         Contracting       4       4.3         Estate Valuer       10       10.9         Others       15       5.4         Total       92       100.0         Type of Organizations         Contracting firm       32       35         Consulting       14       15         Property firm       7       8         Government       39       42         parastatals       7       8         Total       92       100.0         Highest Qualification         HND       15       16.3         B.Sc.       52       56.5         M.Sc.       24       26.1         Ph.D.       1       1.1         Total       92       100.0         Professional Membership       MNIOB       21       22.8         MNIA       10       10.9         MNISE       25       27.2         MNIESV       12       10.9  |             | 10             | 40.0       |
| Civil Engineering         25         27.2           Project         17         18.5           Management         10         10.9           Contracting         4         4.3           Estate Valuer         10         10.9           Others         15         5.4           Total         92         100.0           Type of Organizations           Contracting firm         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         Total         92         100.0           Highest Qualification           HND         15         16.3           B.Sc.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership           MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9   |             |                |            |
| Project       17       18.5         Management       4       4.3         Contracting       4       4.3         Estate Valuer       10       10.9         Others       15       5.4         Total       92       100.0         Type of Organizations         Contracting firm       32       35         Consulting       14       15         Property firm       7       8         Government       39       42         parastatals       92       100.0         Highest Qualification         HND       15       16.3         B.Sc.       52       56.5         M.Sc.       24       26.1         Ph.D.       1       1.1         Total       92       100.0         Professional Membership         MNIOB       21       22.8         MNIA       10       10.9         MNIESV       12       10.9         MNIQS       10       10.9         OTHERS       16       17.4  |             |                |            |
| Management       4       4.3         Contracting       4       4.3         Estate Valuer       10       10.9         Others       15       5.4         Total       92       100.0         Type of Organizations         Contracting firm       32       35         Consulting       14       15         Property firm       7       8         Government       39       42         parastatals       92       100.0         Highest Qualification         HND       15       16.3         B.Sc.       52       56.5         M.Sc.       24       26.1         Ph.D.       1       1.1         Total       92       100.0     Professional  Membership  MNIOB  MNIOB  MNIA  10  10.9  MNISE  25  27.2  MNIESV  12  10.9  MNIQS  10  0THERS  16  17.4  | 0 0         |                |            |
| Contracting         4         4.3           Estate Valuer         10         10.9           Others         15         5.4           Total         92         100.0           Type of Organizations           Contracting firm         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         92         100.0           Highest Qualification           HND         15         16.3           B.Sc.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership           MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4   | ,           | 1 /            | 18.5       |
| Estate Valuer  |             | 4              | 4.2        |
| Others         15         5.4           Total         92         100.0           Type of Organizations           Contracting firm         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         92         100.0           Highest Qualification           HND         15         16.3           B.Sc.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership           MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4   |             | ·              |            |
| Total         92         100.0           Type of Organizations         32         35           Contracting firm         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         92         100.0           Highest Qualification           HND         15         16.3           B.Sc.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership         MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4   |             |                |            |
| Type of Organizations Contracting firm 32 35 Consulting 14 15 Property firm 7 8 Government 39 42 parastatals  Total 92 100.0  Highest Qualification HND 15 16.3 B.Sc. 52 56.5 M.Sc. 24 26.1 Ph.D. 1 1.1 Total 92 100.0  Professional Membership MNIOB 21 22.8 MNIA 10 10.9 MNSE 25 27.2 MNIESV 12 10.9 MNIQS 10 10.9 OTHERS 16   |             |                |            |
| Organizations         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         Total         92         100.0           Highest Qualification         HND         15         16.3           B.S.c.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership         MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNSE         25         27.2           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4   | Total       | 92             | 100.0      |
| Organizations         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         Total         92         100.0           Highest Qualification         HND         15         16.3           B.S.c.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership         MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNSE         25         27.2           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4   | Toma        |                |            |
| Contracting firm         32         35           Consulting         14         15           Property firm         7         8           Government         39         42           parastatals         Total         92         100.0           Highest Qualification         HND         15         16.3           B.Sc.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership         MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNSE         25         27.2           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4   |             |                |            |
| Consulting       14       15         Property firm       7       8         Government       39       42         parastatals       Total       92       100.0         Highest Qualification       HND       15       16.3         B.Sc.       52       56.5         M.Sc.       24       26.1         Ph.D.       1       1.1         Total       92       100.0         Professional Membership       MNIOB       21       22.8         MNIA       10       10.9         MNSE       25       27.2         MNIESV       12       10.9         MNIQS       10       10.9         OTHERS       16       17.4  |             | 22             | 25         |
| Property firm         7         8           Government parastatals         39         42           Total         92         100.0           Highest Qualification           HND         15         16.3           B.Sc.         52         56.5           M.Sc.         24         26.1           Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership         MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNSE         25         27.2           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4   | U           |                |            |
| Government   39   42   |             |                |            |
| Parastatals   P2   100.0   | 1 /         | '              |            |
| Highest Qualification  |             | 39             | 42         |
| Highest   Qualification   HND   15   16.3   16.3   16.5  | 1           |                | 400.0      |
| Qualification       HND     15     16.3       B.Sc.     52     56.5       M.Sc.     24     26.1       Ph.D.     1     1.1       Total     92     100.0       Professional Membership       MNIOB     21     22.8       MNIA     10     10.9       MNSE     25     27.2       MNIESV     12     10.9       MNIQS     10     10.9       OTHERS     16     17.4   | Total       | 92             | 100.0      |
| Qualification       HND     15     16.3       B.Sc.     52     56.5       M.Sc.     24     26.1       Ph.D.     1     1.1       Total     92     100.0       Professional Membership       MNIOB     21     22.8       MNIA     10     10.9       MNSE     25     27.2       MNIESV     12     10.9       MNIQS     10     10.9       OTHERS     16     17.4   |             |                |            |
| HND 15 16.3 B.Sc. 52 56.5 M.Sc. 24 26.1 Ph.D. 1 1.1  Total 92 100.0  Professional Membership MNIOB 21 22.8 MNIA 10 10.9 MNSE 25 27.2 MNIESV 12 10.9 MNIQS 10 10.9 OTHERS 16 17.4   | C           |                |            |
| B.Sc. 52 56.5 M.Sc. 24 26.1 Ph.D. 1 1.1  Total 92 100.0  Professional Membership MNIOB 21 22.8 MNIA 10 10.9 MNSE 25 27.2 MNIESV 12 10.9 MNIQS 10 10.9 OTHERS 16 17.4   | -           | 4.5            | 4.60       |
| M.Sc. 24 26.1 Ph.D. 1 1.1  Total 92 100.0  Professional Membership MNIOB 21 22.8 MNIA 10 10.9 MNSE 25 27.2 MNIESV 12 10.9 MNIQS 10 10.9 OTHERS 16 17.4   |             |                |            |
| Ph.D.         1         1.1           Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership         Value of the professional Membership         Value of the professional Minional Miniona  |             |                |            |
| Total         92         100.0           Professional Membership         MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNSE         25         27.2           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4   |             |                |            |
| Professional           Membership         MNIOB         21         22.8           MNIA         10         10.9           MNSE         25         27.2           MNIESV         12         10.9           MNIQS         10         10.9           OTHERS         16         17.4  |             | -              |            |
| Membership       MNIOB     21     22.8       MNIA     10     10.9       MNSE     25     27.2       MNIESV     12     10.9       MNIQS     10     10.9       OTHERS     16     17.4   | Total       | 92             | 100.0      |
| Membership       MNIOB     21     22.8       MNIA     10     10.9       MNSE     25     27.2       MNIESV     12     10.9       MNIQS     10     10.9       OTHERS     16     17.4   |             |                |            |
| MNIOB       21       22.8         MNIA       10       10.9         MNSE       25       27.2         MNIESV       12       10.9         MNIQS       10       10.9         OTHERS       16       17.4  |             |                |            |
| MNIA     10     10.9       MNSE     25     27.2       MNIESV     12     10.9       MNIQS     10     10.9       OTHERS     16     17.4  |             |                | •••        |
| MNSE       25       27.2         MNIESV       12       10.9         MNIQS       10       10.9         OTHERS       16       17.4   |             |                |            |
| MNIESV 12 10.9<br>MNIQS 10 10.9<br>OTHERS 16 17.4  |             |                |            |
| MNIQS 10 10.9<br>OTHERS 16 17.4  |             |                |            |
| OTHERS 16 17.4   |             |                |            |
|  |             |                |            |
| 10tai 92 100.0   |             |                |            |
|  | Total       | 92             | 100.0      |

Table 2 presents the causes of building collapse in relation to quality management practices. The three causes of building collapse that were rated higher include: handling of construction process unqualified and incompetent craftsmen, possessing the approved drawing noncompliance with it and usage of substandard building materials. This is in accordance with the studies of [17, 4 and 18] that many infrastructures are built with approved plans but do not comply with laid down quality standard and building regulations. This is because, despite all the effort by the Nigerian government, the rate of infrastructural failures and building collapse is still on the increase. After all, compliance with approved quality standards is not properly enforced [18]. When an approved plan exists, the developers and owners still refuse to follow standards and specifications contained in the plan [17].

Table 3 presents the total quality management processes and activities. The findings show that the processes and activities of the construction firms can help in ameliorating building collapse as it was rated higher above other variables. This is in accordance with [6] [19] who were of the opinion that many of the problems in buildings might be lessened by giving greater attention to standards of performance and quality at briefing, design and construction stages of the building process..

Table 2: General Causes of Building Collapse in Relation to Quality Management

| S/N | General Causes of Building Collapse      | Mean |
|-----|--|------|
|     | in Relation to Quality Management        |      |
|     | practices                                |      |
| 1.  | Handling of construction process by      | 3.24 |
|     | unqualified or wrong people              |      |
| 2.  | Non - compliance with approved           | 3.19 |
|     | drawing                                  |      |
| 3.  | Usage of sub-standard building materials | 3.16 |
| 4.  | Lack of compliance with specification by | 3.15 |
|     | developers                               |      |
| 5.  | Illegal conversion and alteration of     | 3.09 |
|     | existing structures                      |      |
| 6.  | Construction activities not monitored    | 3.06 |
|     | effectively                              |      |
| 7.  | Inability to possess approved drawing    | 3.01 |
| 8.  | Usage of incompetent contractor and      | 2.95 |
|     | quacks                                   |      |
| 9.  | Defective design and construction        | 2.94 |
| 10. | Lack of supervision, inspection and      | 2.94 |
|     | monitoring                               |      |
| 11. | Lack of approval of building plan before | 2.91 |
|     | commencement of construction             |      |
| 12. | Structural deficiency                    | 2.87 |
| 13. | Inadequate preliminary works             | 2.85 |
| 14. | Lack of approved structural design       | 2.83 |
| 15. | Defective construction methodology       | 2.78 |

Table 3: Total Quality Management Processes and Activities

| S/N | Total Quality Management<br>Techniques   | Mean<br>Rating |
|-----|--|----------------|
| 1   | processes and activities of the<br>construction firms determine the quality<br>requirements of the project | 3.4            |
| 2   | processes and activities of the<br>construction firms help in ameliorating<br>building collapse            | 3.1            |
| 3   | total quality management techniques are<br>too technical to management of<br>construction firms            | 2.6            |

Source: Field survey 2020

Table 4 shows the cases of building collapse in Osun State between 2010 and 2020. The major causes include: faulty design, usage of substandard building materials, non-adherence to specifications and poor workmanship among others. All these causes can be linked to human error and carelessness. This is in accordance with [5] who reiterated that inefficient management of the construction process and non-compliance to rules and regulations guiding the building industry are major causes of building collapse

Table 4: Cases of building collapse in Osun State (2010 - 2020)

| S/N | Building<br>Location        | Type of<br>Building<br>Structure    | Date of collapse | Causes of<br>Building<br>collapse                                    |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1   | Ikirun,<br>Mobubeje<br>area | Residential building                | 2010             | Design fault   |
| 2   | Oke<br>Ayepe,<br>Osogbo     | Residential building                | August<br>2013   | Use of<br>substandard<br>materials                                   |
| 3   | Osogbo                      | Convocation arena                   | August<br>2013   | Faulty<br>construction<br>and non-<br>adherence to<br>specifications |
| 4   | Osogbo                      | Residential<br>building             | August<br>2014   | heavy<br>downpour  |
| 5   | Ejigbo<br>Osun<br>State     | Mega school                         | October<br>2014  | Poor workmanship   |
| 6   | Iwo,<br>Osun<br>State       | 3 storey<br>residential<br>building | August<br>2020   | use of<br>substandard<br>materials and<br>shoddy<br>workmanship      |

Source: Field survey 2020

## IV. Conclusion and Recommendations

From the analysis of the result of the effects of total quality management practices on building collapse, the following were deduced: It was observed that the extent of adoption of total quality management measures has significant effects on building collapse in the study area. The main findings of the study revealed that the level of compliance with the approved building plans is very low. It has also been observed in some cases that the design and production team failed to adhere strictly to the various codes of practice set out for different aspect of works in the industry. It is recommended that there should be effective adoption of total quality management practice measures at the execution stage of the project and there should be constant evaluation of contractors and their organizations determine the level of compliance to quality standards as set down by the regulatory bodies and government agencies in other to curtail the incidences of building collapse.

## References

- [1]. Oyebode, O., Wasiu, J. and Adebayo V.B. "Causes of Building Collapse and Prevention in Nigeria", A paper delivered at the: *Conference of the Nigerian Institute of Civil Engineers (NICE)* (2014), Sheraton Hotel, Ikeja Lagos.
- [2]. Adeniran, A.J. "Environmental Disasters and Management: Case Study of Building Collapse in Nigeria", *International Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 2, no. 3, 2013, pp. 39-45.
- [3]. Babatunde, S.O. and Opawole, A. "An Assessment of Failure of Building Components in Nigeria", *Journal of Building Appraisal*, vol. 4, 2009, pp. 279-280.
- [4]. Chinwokwu, G. "The role of Professionals in Averting Building Collapse", Proceedings of workshop of the Nigerian Institute of Building, Lagos State on Building Collapse, causes, preventing and remedies, 2000, pp. 12-28.
- [5]. Hillary, I.O., Chukwuemeka, O.O., Nkolika, J.P., James, D.O., Patienc, I.A. and Abiodun, A.O. "Systematic Review of Building

- Failure and Collapse in Nigeria", *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*. vol. 9, no. 10, 2018, pp. 1391-1401.
- [6]. Griffith, A. "Quality Assurance in Building", London: macmillan, 1990.
- [7]. Gana, A.J. and Olorunfemi, K.O. "Human Elements and Factor Contribution and Consideration in Construction Projects Towards Completion (The Nigeria Experience)", International Research Journal of Engineering Science, Technology and Innovation (IRJESTI), vol. 4, no. 1, 2015, pp. 12-18.
- [8]. Battikha, M.G. "Quantity Management Practices in Highway Construction", *Emerald*, 2002, pp. 532 550.
- [9]. Hoonakker, P., Carayon, P. and Loushine, T. "Barriers and Benefits of Quanlity Management in the Construction Industry", *An Empirical Study, Total Quality Management*, vol. 21, no. 9, 2010. pp. 953-969.
- [10]. Arikkok, M. "Total Quality Management: The Way to Achieve Quality Excellence", Kajaani University of Applied Sciences,
- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/31205 4032 accessed on October, 2019.
- [11]. Shofoluwe, M., Ofori-Boadu, A., Waller, L. and Bock-Hyeng, C. "Quality Improvement Practices of Award-Winning Residential Builders and Housing Developments", *International Journal of Industrial Engineering & Production Research*, vol. 23, no. 1, 2013, pp. 7-12.
- [12]. Aham, M., Gtrerrez, L.J. and Nunoz, J.F. "Total Quality Management Practices, Competitive Strategies and Financial Performance: The Case of the Palestinian Industrial SMEs", *Total Quality Management of Business Excellence*. vol. 25, no 5/6, 2014, pp. 635-649.
- [13]. Project Management Body of Knowledge PMBOK Guide' "Quality Assurance in the Construction Industry", StudyModc.com. (2008\*) 4th Fid. PMI Inc. Available:
- Http:<u>www.studymode.com/essays/Quality-Assurance-Construction-Industry-</u>
- <u>129387.html</u> (Accessed on 2nd September, 2019.
- [14]. Low, S.P. and Peh, K.W. "A Framework for Implementing Total Quality Management in Construction", *The TQM Magazine*, 1996, pp. 39 46.
- [15]. Ayuba, P., Olagunju, O.R and Akande, O.K "Failure and Collapse of Buildings in Nigeria: The Role of Professionals and other Participants in the Building Industry", *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, vol. 4, 2012, pp. 1267 1272.

- [16]. Arditi, D. and Gunaydin M. "Total Quality Management in the Construction Process", *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 15, no. 4, 1997, pp. 235-243.
- [17]. Ebehikhalu, N. and Dawam, P. "Spatial Analysis of Building Collapse in Nigeria: A Study of the Causes and Problems", *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, vol. 5, no. 25, 2014, Encyclopedia Britannica. (2004). Delux edition.
- [18]. Oyegbile, O.B., Tat, T.N. and Olutoge, O. "Management of Building Collapse in Nigeria", A Lesson from Earthquake Triggered Building Collapse in Athens, Greece" *Civil and Environmental Research*, vol. 2. no. 6, 2012, pp. 36-42.
- [19]. Jambol, D.D. "Curbing the Incidences of Building Collapse in Nigeria", A paper delivered at the 42<sup>nd</sup> Nigeria Institute of Building (NIOB) National Conference, August, 2012, pp. 19-34.